

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,092	09/18/2003	Chandramouli Visweswariah	YOR920030401US1	6186	
7590 01/26/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Louis J. Percello			GARBOWSKI, LEIGH M		
IBM CORPORATION Intellectual Property Law Dept.			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 218		2825			
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			DATE MAILED: 01/26/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$G \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} C$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6 th
Office Action Summary	10/665,092	VISWESWARIAH, CHANDRAMOULI	
omoo nodon odimidi y	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Leigh Marie Garbowski	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply tation. Try period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matters	•	its is
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are wind 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>17,30 and 32-35</u> is/are objected. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration. ed to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to by n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in App he priority documents have been red Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/18/2003	948) Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/665,092

Art Unit: 2825

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, Claims 17-35, in the reply filed on 11/18/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Objections

Claims 17, 30, and 32-35 are objected to because of the following informalities: as per claims 17 and 33-35, taking claim 17 as exemplary, the antecedent basis for "the one or more statistical timing queries" [lines 5-6] is confusing, it is not clear if the feature is intended to be interpreted as "creating ..." or "based on ..."; there is no antecedent basis for "the arrival times" [line 8]; it is not clear which "tightness probabilities" [line 9] are being referred to; there is no antecedent basis for "required arrival times" [lines 10-11]. As per claim 30, there is no antecedent basis for "the delay of each component" [line 1]. As per claim 32, there is no antecedent basis for "sources of variation" [line 3] Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 17 and 33-35, taking claim 17 as exemplary, "assigning levelization parameters" [lines 6-7] is vague and indefinite, it is not clear what the parameters are assigned to; "answering the one or more statistical timing queries" [line 12] is vague and indefinite, it is not clear where a query is asked.

The remaining claims, though not specifically mentioned, are rejected for incorporating the errors of their respective base claim by dependency.

The following rejections are based on the examiner's best interpretation of the claims in view of the objections and rejections raised above.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,092

Art Unit: 2825

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abato et al. [U.S. Patent #5,508,937].

As per claims 17 and 33-35 [column 7, lines 58-61], taking claims 17 as exemplary, a method for incremental statistical timing analysis, comprising the steps of: a. conducting an initial statistical timing analysis and saving one or more arrival tightness probabilities and one or more required arrival tightness probabilities [column 1, lines 58-63; column 7, line 66-column 8, line 25; column 9, lines 35-40]; b. creating a change list based on the changed to the electrical circuit and the one or more statistical timing queries, and assigning levelization parameters [column 7, lines 6-7; column 8, lines 26-34; column 10, lines 25-27; column 18, line 2column 22, lines 20-27, 32-35]; c. conducting incremental statistical forward propagation of probabilities [column 1, lines 58-63; column 15, line 56; column 21, lines 55-61]; d. conducting incremental statistical reverse propagation of probabilities [column 1, lines 58-63; column 15, lines 58; column 21, lines 55-67]; and e. answering the one or more statistical timing gueries [column 6, line 66-column 7, line 4]. As per claims 18-24, see the entire document for optional characteristics of gueries. As per claims 25-26, see column 8, line 48-column 9, line 10. As per claims 27-29, see the entire document for optional characteristics of electrical circuits. As per claims 30-32, see column 1, lines 58-65 for well-known characteristics of statistical timing analysis computations [see also Devgan et al. [U.S. Patent Application #2004/0243954 A1].

Application/Control Number: 10/665,092

Art Unit: 2825

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Srinivasan et al. [U.S. Patent #6,851,095 B1] disclose incremental recharacterization that accommodates design changes. Pedersen et al. [U.S. Patent #6,490,717 B1] disclose incremental recompilation. Lee [U.S. Patent #6,367,056 B1] discloses incremental timing analysis. Cleereman et al. [U.S. Patent #6,026,220] incremental optimization that maintains lists.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Marie Garbowski whose telephone number is 571-272-1893 and e-mail is Leigh.Garbowski@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEIGH M. GARBOWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER